

The materials produced herein are intended for the sole use of law students at the University of Toronto.

CASES AND MATERIALS ON FAMILY LAW

Volume 1

EDITED BY

BERNARD GREEN

LAW LIBRARY

104 173 1167

SEP171982

FACULTY OF LAW UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

The materials produced herein are intended for the sole use of law students at the University of Toronto.

CASES AND MATERIALS ON FAMILY LAW

Volume 1

EDITED BY

BERNARD GREEN

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2018 with funding from University of Toronto

Table of Contents

CHAPTER	ONE:	INTRODUCTION

I.	The Constitutional Framework: Division of Powers and Charter of Rights								
	Powers and Charter of Rights								
	Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms								
	U.S. Bill of Rights4 Note on Impact of Charter of Rights on Family Law4								
II.	The Legislative Framework								
III.	The Institutional Framework								
	A. The Courts								
	B. Other Agencies								
	C. The Lawyer8								
	Reisman, "Beyond the Call of Obvious Duty"8 Mnookin & Kornhauser, "Bargaining in the								
	Shadow of the Law: The Case of Divorce''11								
IV.	Some Views on the Family and Marriage14								
	Eekelaar, Family Law and Social Policy14								
	Sage, "Dissolution of the Family under Swedish Law"								
	Concept of Marriage''24								
CHA	PTER TWO: FORMATION OF THE FAMILY UNIT AND ANNULMENT								
I.	<u>Introduction</u>								
II.	The Constitutional Issue29								
	Bushnell, "Family Law and the Constitution"29								
III.	The Statutory Conditions								
	A. Licence and formalities30								
	Marriage Act30								
	B. Effect of failure to comply with statutory conditions34								
	Marriage Act34								
	Friend, "Common Law Marriage in Ontario"								
	Friend, "Common Law Marriage in Ontario"41								
	C. Age and parental consent43								
	Marriage Act								

A		Intro	oduction46
В	. A	A man	and a woman4
С	. V	Who a	are free to marry48
	ĭ	Marri	.age Act49
D	. 1	Who c	consent to marry each other52
	1	(1)	Mental Capacity5
			Marriage Act. 53 Meilen v. Anderson. 53 Chertkow v. Feinstein. 54 Whitaker v. McNeilly. 55 Webb. 56 Re McGill. 56
	(Mistake as to the nature of the ceremony
	1	(3)	Mental reservations
			Gardner
	,	(4)	Duress6
			Thompson
	1	(5)	Fraud7
			Moss
Е	. A	And w	who are able to consummate the marriage
	:	(1)	What constitutes consummation
			<u>Baxter</u>
		(2)	Inability to consummate7
			(a) Physical
			<u>Foster</u> 7
			(b) Invincible repugnance
			Heil · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

		<u>Gajamugan</u> 84 Foisy84								
V.	Def	ences to a Nullity Action: Approbation or Insincerity87								
		lo, Nullity of Marriage in Canada87								
	A. Knowledge									
		<u>G.</u> ν. <u>G.</u>								
		<u>B. v. B.</u> 92								
	В • .	<u>Conduct</u> 93								
		<u>R.E.L.</u>								
	C.	Delay and improper motive101								
		<u>Clifford</u>								
	D.	The effect of petitioner's own impotence								
		<u>Pettit</u>								
VI.	The	Nullity Decree								
	Α.	Jurisdiction and other matters108								
	В.	Conflicts problems								
	C.	Economic consequences108								
	D.	Children								
CHAI	חייים	TUDEE DIVONCE								
		THREE: DIVORCE								
I.	Grou	<u>inds</u> 109								
	Α.	General109								
		Green, "The Divorce Act of 1968"								
	В.	Immediate relief: the fault grounds112								
		(1) Adultery112								
		(a) What it is112								
		<u>Orford</u>								
		(b) Proving adultery114								

		(i)	Star	ndard of proof114
		(ii)	Me tł	nods114
			1.	Physical condition114
			2.	Circumstances116
				<u>Little</u> 116
			3.	Admissions121
				Divorce Act
				Evidence Act
				Ewasiuk
	•			<u>Veysey</u> 124
			4.	Other decrees
				<u>Coles</u>
(:	2) Other	r sexual	mis	sconduct
	Divo	cca Act		
		100		
(3) Cruel	lty	• • • •	
	Divo	rce Act.		
	(a)	Relevan	ce c	of the common law alimony standard135
		N. v. N	<u>.</u>	
	(b)	Grave an	nd w	reighty conduct141
		Kno11		
		Feldman		
		KISHEI.	• • • •	
	(c)	The rele	evan	ace of intention157
		William:	<u>s</u>	
		Anderso	<u>n</u>	
	(d)	The time	e pr	coblem167
C.	Delayed	relief:	ma	arital breakdown167
	Divorce	Act		

(.	1)	lmpri	sonm	ent	168					
(2	2)	Addio	tion	•••••	168					
(:	3)	Disappearance16								
(4	4)	Non-c	onsur	mmation	169					
		Chira	yath	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	169					
(5	5)			parate and apart in the absence of grounds	171					
		(a)	''Sepa	arate and apart"	172					
			Des1: Boech Dorch	v. A.W.B	176					
		(b)	Dese	rtion	186					
			(i)	Without just cause	186					
				<u>Sullivan</u> <u>G. v. G.</u> <u>Bird</u> <u>Slon</u>	190					
		(ii)	And with the intention to remain apart permanently	196					
				<u>Lilley</u> <u>Kaczmarz</u>						
		(i	ii)	The problem of mutual desertion	204					
				<u>Price</u>	204					
		(iv)	Termination of desertion	207					
				Digiacinto. Richards. March. Gushta. Harrison.	208					
D.	Pro	posa1	s for	r reform	217					
	Go1			Gitter, "On Abolition of Grounds for	217					

Bar	s and	Defe	<u>ences</u> 2	21
Α.	The	possi	bility of reconciliation2	21
	Divo	rce A	ct2	221
	Gera	nsky.		
	lrvı	ng & n Div	Irving, "Conciliation Counselling orce Litigation"2	26
	Ferg	$\frac{11}{\text{uson}}$.		29
В.	Abso	lute	bars applicable to all grounds2	:32
	(1)	Coll	usion2	:32
		Divo	orce Act	232
		Sopi	nka, "The Divorce Act 1968, Collusion Confined"2	-
			Collusion Confined"2 .ett2	
	(2)			
	(2)		ıd2	
		From	<u>novitz</u> 2	:39
C.	Disc	retio	nary Bars2	:43
	(1)	Conn	nivance2	243
			prce Act2	:43
			ort of the Special Joint Committeeon Divorce2	17
			ger	
		(a)	Consent	:46
			<u>T. v. T.</u>	46
		(b)	The problem of investigation2	48
			Pearl	48
			<u>Hechter</u> 2	
		(c)	Termination of connivance2	55
			<u>Godfrey</u> 2	55
	(2)	Cond	lonation2	:58
		(a)	Introduction2	:58
			Divorce Act	:59
		(b)	The common law	60
			Knowledge, forgiveness and re-instatement2	?61

				Aucoi	<u>n</u>	• • • • • •	• • • • •			• • • •		2	267
			(c) The	effect	of the	Divor	ce Ac	t				2	269
			Boive Nolar Ross Black Brown	rce Actert v.	Marine	au		• • • • • •					270 274 274 275 276
		(3)	The exerci										
			Divorce Ad Blunt										
	D.	Speci	al bars aj	pplicab	le onl	y to s	. 4 g	rounds	5			2	281
		Ragge	cce Act									2	282
	Ε.	The p	problem of	insani	ty			• • • • •				• • • 2	285
		<u>Β.</u> ν.	В					• • • • •				2	285
III.	Nat	ure ar	nd Conseque	ences o	f Divo	rce	• • • • •	• • • • •				2	287
	Α.	Juris	diction	• • • • • •				• • • • •				2	287
		Divoi	ce Act					• • • • •				2	287
	В.	Recog	gnition					• • • • •		· · · ·		2	289
		Divoi	ce Act	• • • • • •				• • • • •				2	289
	C.	Conse	equences	• • • • • •			• • • • •	• • • • •				2	289
		(1)	Status	• • • • • •				• • • • •		• • • •	• • •	2	289
			Divorce Ac										
		(2)	Economic.	• • • • • •			• • • • •	• • • • •			• • •	2	294
		(3)	Children.	• • • • • •							• • •	2	294
		(4)	Costs				• • • • •			• • • •		2	294
			Bregman	• • • • • •								2	295

<u>CHA</u>	PTER	FOUR: ECONOMIC RELATIONS BETWEEN "SPOUSES"	
Ι.	Int	roduction	298
II.	Own	ership of Property	300
	Α.	Introduction	300
		Law Reform Commission of Canada, Family Property Zuijdwijk, A Note on the Standard Property Regime in Quebec	
	В.	The law prior to the F.L.R. Act; the law after:	313
	υ.	the use of trusts	318
		Murdoch. Rathwell. Pettkus v. Becker.	327
		Marvin	
	С.	The F.L.R. Act	339
		(1) Provisions applicable to determining ownership of all types of assets	339
		(a) Standing	339
		(b) Trusts and presumptions of trust	340
		(c) Private agreements: domestic contract	341
		(2) Family assets and division of family and non-family assets	341
		(a) What is a family asset?	342
		(b) Powers of the court in dividing property	343
		(i) General	343
		(ii) In relation to family assets	344
		(iii) In relation to non-family assets	345
	D.	The case law	346
		(1) What is a family asset?	346
		Bregman. Ling Re Leatherdale.	350
		(2) When will the court make an unequal division of family assets?	352
		(a) The effect of gifts	353

			Bregman. Weir. Ling. Piskor.	355 356
		(b)	Equal division inequitable in the circumstances	359
			Weir Leatherdale	
	(3)		will the court make a division of non-family ssets?	364
		(a)	The impact of s. 4	364
			Silverstein. Bregman. Re Young.	365
		(b)	The impact of s. 8	369
			Silverstein. Re Young. Van de Mark v. Walton. Kiss v. Palachik.	370
	(4)	The]	problem of agreements between the parties	374
		Fami	ly Law Reform Act	375
		(a) _.	Agreements not in compliance with s. 54	376
			SeibtBurton	
		(b)	Agreements that are formally valid by s. 54	378
			Deroon. Couzens. Mirus. Lamers.	380
III.	Mutual	Duty	of Maintenance	381
	A. Wh	nile Ma	arried or Cohabiting	381
	(1	.) Th	e law prior to the F.L.R. Act of 1978	381
	(2	?) The	e Family Law Reform Act of 1978	383
	B. On	n Breal	kup of Marriage or Cohabitation	383
	(1	.) Who	can claim: the problem of "common law" spouses	383

	Family Law Reform Act
	Warwick v. Ministry of Community & Social Services
	Sanderson v. Russell
(2)	When can claim be made?
	(a) Nullity
	(b) Divorce
	Divorce Act
	Note on the Powers of the Divorce Court391
	(c) On death of one of the parties393
	Succession Law Reform Act393
(3)	What types of order can be made
	(a) Non-monetary393
	The special problem of possessory rights in the matrimonial home
	(i) Definition of matrimonial home395
	(ii) Protection accorded a spouse in the matrimonial home
	(iii) Powers of court to alter occupancy rights396
	<u>Janssen</u>
	(b) Money Awards400
	(i) Periodic payment orders400
	Family Law Reform Act
	(ii) Lump sum400
	Family Law Reform Act .400 Divorce Act .401 Murdoch .401 Shaffman .402
	Shaffran
	Chaffery406
	<u>Brett</u>
	Family Law Reform Act410

				DIVOICE ACC41	U
	(4)	Fac	tors at	ffecting monetary awards41	1
		(a)	Intro	oduction41	1
			Law F	Reform Commission of Canada,	
				aintenance or Divorce41	1
				ly Law Reform Act41	
			Divo	rce Act41	8
		(b)	Condu	act419	9
			(i)	Under the F.L.R. Act419	9
				West419	g
				McCabe	
				Rocha	
				<u>C. v. C. (No. 2)</u>	4
			(ii)	Under the Divorce Act429	5
				W 1 1	_
				Murdoch425	
		(c)	Other	factors42	7
			Davis.	 	7
				<u>ams</u> 429	
				<u>rstein</u> 43	
				<u>D.</u>	
				<u>ff</u> 438	
			dure.	·	J
	(5)	Var	iation	of awards44	1
		Fam	ily Law	v Reform Act44	1
			-	et447	
		Har	ringtor	<u>1</u> 447	2
				v. <u>Dawson</u> 44	
		928			
Ξ.				ns: Contracting Out, Welfare s of Federalism456	6
	(1)			ng out of maintenance456	
		(a)	While	e parties are alive456	Ó
			(i)	During cohabitation or upon	
				separation456	5
				Family Law Reform Act456	5

Note on separation agreements			(11)	by divorce	457
(b) Contracting out of claims against a spouse's estate				Newman	457
Spouse's estate				Note on separation agreements	464
General Welfare Assistance Act			(b) Cont	racting out of claims against a pouse's estate	464
General Welfare Assistance Act.			Fami	ly Law Reform Act	464
Annual Report, Ministry of Community and Social Services for the Fiscal Year Ending March 31, 1981		(2)	Welfare		
Family Benefits Act.			Annual Rep Social Ending I Annual Rep Social	Sort, Ministry of Community and Services for the Fiscal Year March 31, 1981 Sort, Ministry of Community and Services for the Fiscal Year	468
Annual Report, Ministry of Community and Social Services for the Fiscal Year Ending March, 1979			Family Ben Annual Rep Social	efits Actoort, Ministry of Community and Services for the Fiscal Year	472
Diamond, "Philosophy of Welfare"			Annual Reposital	ort, Ministry of Community and Services for the Fiscal Year	
Sniderman 488 CHAPTER FIVE: CHILDREN 487 I. Introduction 487 Children's Law Reform Act 488 Family Law Reform Act 489 Succession Law Reform Act 480 Divorce Act 490 Children's Law Reform Act 490 Children's Law Reform Act 490 Re H and H 490 Re Rhan 490 Ministry of Community and Social Services 494					
CHAPTER FIVE: CHILDREN I. Introduction		(3)	Problems o	of federalism	484
I. Introduction. 487 Children's Law Reform Act. 488 Family Law Reform Act. 489 Succession Law Reform Act. 489 Divorce Act. 490 Children's Law Reform Act. 490 Re H and H. 490 Re Rhan. 490 Ministry of Community and Social Services 494			Sniderman.		485
Children's Law Reform Act	CHAI	PTER FIVE	: CHILDREN	_	
Family Law Reform Act 489 Succession Law Reform Act 489 Divorce Act 490 Children's Law Reform Act 490 Re H and H 490 Re Rhan 490 Ministry of Community and Social Services 494	I.	Introduc	<u>tion</u>	•••••••••••	487
Children's Law Reform Act		Family Landsuccession	aw Reform Acon Law Refor	ctrm Act	489 489
Re H and H	II.	Proving	and Disprov	ing Parenthood	490
		Re H and Re Rhan. Ministry	Hof Communit	ty and Social Services	490 494
alla 10115		and 1	0115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, , . 302

III.					<pre>ilege) to Raise or See One's Children: ustody, Care and Control, Access</pre>	504
	Α.				•••••	
	В.				es	
	ь.					
		(1)				
			(a)	Legi	slative framework	505
				Divo	dren's Law Reform Act rce Act imonial Causes Act	512
			(b)		general principles: what the academics ave said	515
				Katk a	er and Freed, "Child Custody"in, Bullington and Levine, "Above nd Beyond the Best Interests of the	
				Mnoo J	hildkin, "Child-Custody Ajudication: udicial Functions in the Face of ndeterminacy	
			(c)		rs of the court	
				(i)	Award to a person not a party to the action	559
					Re Fulford and Townshend	559
				(ii)	Joint custody order	562
					Kruger McCabe Field.	569
			(iii)	The effect of an agreement between the parties	574
					Children's Law Reform Act	
			(d)	Inte	rim custody	576
				Divo	dren's Law Reform Actrce Actnt.	576
			(e)	Perm	anent custody	578

(i)	The impact of conduct578
	Divorce Act
(ii)	Race591
	<u>Hayre</u>
(iii)	Tender years and plans594
	MacDonald. 594 Talsky. 596 Wakaluk. 601 Romeyko. 606 Bayda, "Procedure in Child
	Custody Adjudication608
g	ody after death of natural uardian613
Chil	dren's Law Reform Act613
(2) Disputes	between parents and third parties614
J. v. C. Re Moores	Maat 614 and Feldstein 621 v. Nabbie 629
(3) Access	632
Ader Boileau	s Law Reform Act
	ving the state or a coercive
	ervention: the "pure welfare" ch
of 196	The Ontario Child Welfare Act 5"

		(a) General6	50
		(b) Jurisdiction69	51
		(c) Process6	58
		(d) Powers on making a finding6	63
		(e) Accountability: reviews and appeals6	65
		Richardson, Unpublished paper	77 79
		Re Brady Infants. 6 C.A.S. of Winnipeg v. Molyneux and Porteous. 6 Tom v. Winnipeg C.A.S. 6 Note, "The Custody Question and Child	91 96
		Neglect Hearings"6 Re Cordes - Saathoff et al and C.C.A.S.	
		of Metro Toronto	05
		(2) State intervention: the quasi-welfare approach	'08
		Juvenile Delinquents Act	'08 '12
IV.	Ado	ption7	'26
	Α.	The statutory scheme7	'26
		Child Welfare Act	726
		Services, 48th Annual Report	741
	В.	Consent of natural parents	742
		O'Connor v. A. & B	744 747
	С.	The religious factor	753
		Petch, "Re Lamb: Religious considerations in Ontario Adoptions"	75 <i>3</i> 756
	D.	The search for natural parents or child	758
		Child Welfare Act	758

	Ε.	Access
		C.G.W. v. M.J. et al
V.	The	Parent-Child Relationship
	Α.	Parental Control
		<u>Re D.</u>
	В.	Economic relations
		(1) Parental obligations to child
		(a) General
		Ontario Law Reform Commission, "Report on the Age of Majority"
		(b) Legislative framework
		Family Law Reform Act
		(c) The work of the courts784
		Waters v. Nicholls. 784 Re Dolabaille and Carrington. 786 Clark. 789 Janzen. 791 Gartner. 793 Hock. 795 Walls v. Hanson. 797
		(d) The effect of death
		Succession Law Reform Act
		(2) The child's duty to maintain his parents806
		Family Law Reform Act
CHAP	TER S	SIX: PROTECTION OF THE FAMILY UNIT AGAINST INTERFERENCE BY THIRD PARTIES
Ι.	Betwe	een Husband and Wife811
		rio Law Reform Commission Report n Family Law, Part I: Torts
	0:	y, "The Case for Retention of Causes f Action for Intentional Interference ith the Marital Relationship"

(xvii)

	Leaphart and McCann, "Consortium: An Action for the Wife"	.821
	Ontario Law Reform Commission Report on Family Law, Part I: Torts	
	Family Law Reform Act	.830
II.	Between Parent and Child	.830
	Ontario Law Reform Commission Report	
	on Family Law, Part I: Torts	.830
	Family Law Reform Act	.833

